

United States Patent and Trademark Office



M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | 05/31/2000 | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Jee Loon Look | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/584,640 | | | | 0630/0G528 | 4278 |
| 25291 | 7590 | 11/21/2002 | | | |
| WYETH | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FIVE GIR | AW GROU ALDA FAR | MS | | WALCZAK, DAVID J | |
| MADISON, NJ 07940 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 3751 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 11/21/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| ? | | 111 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 09/584,640 | LOOK ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | David J. Walczak | 3751 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 | October 2002 | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T | his action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 57-68</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 57-68</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 57-68</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documer | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documer | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice | ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/584,640

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 9 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claims 9 and 64, it is unclear as to how the product can be both transparent and a lipstick, i.e., lip stick cannot be transparent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 57-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Recker in view of O'Reilly. In regard to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 12, 57, 58, 59, 60, 67 and 68, Recker discloses a cosmetic applicator comprised of a container 10, 11 having walls, a smooth interior contour and an exterior contour wherein the composition 17 is in sliding contact with the walls and is advanceable and retractable within the container and wherein the walls proximate to the composition are rigid throughout (as evidenced by the cross-hatching which indicates that the walls are metal). Although the composition 17 is not disclosed as being formed from two different compositions, attention is directed to the O'Reilly reference, which discloses another

Application/Control Number: 09/584,640

Art Unit: 3751

lipstick product being formed from two different compositions 25, 28 which differ in color to form a predetermined mulit-colored image in order to enhance the appearance of the product. Further, O'Reilly discloses that the product can not only be lipstick, but can also be lip balm (column 12, last line) wherein it is well-known that lip balm is conventionally at least translucent when applied. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the two-composition product disclosed by O'Reilly into the container disclosed by Recker in order to enable the Recker device to dispense an aesthetically pleasing two composition product. In regard to claim 2, the interior contour of the container 10, 11 is different that the exterior contour. In regard to claims 6 and 61, the device discussed supra produces the image of lateral stripes. In regard to claims 7 and 62, each crosssection of the stick in the O'Reilly device contains the same image. In regard to claims 8 and 63, the stick is used for application. It is here noted that the statements of intended use, i.e., "for application to an animal" do not lend any patentable structure to the claims. In regard to claims 9, 13 and 64, the composition can be a lipstick or a lip balm. In regard to claims 10 and 65, the composition includes a pharmaceutically acceptable vehicle. In regard to claims 11 and 66, the composition comprises a colorant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/584,640

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW November 20, 2002